IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA SAVANNAH DIVISION

| JERRELL WILLIAMS, |) | | |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------|-----------|
| Petitioner, |) | | |
| v. |) | CASE NO. | CV421-339 |
| SHERIFF JOHN WILCHER, |) | | |
| Respondent. |))) | | |

ORDER

Before the Court is the Magistrate Judge's June 29, 2022, Report and Recommendation (Doc. 3), to which Petitioner has not filed objections. After a careful review of the record, the Report and Recommendation (Doc. 3) is ADOPTED as the Court's opinion in this case. As a result, this action is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

See Gore v. Crews, 720 F.3d 811, 815 (11th Cir. 2013) (per curiam)

("If a petitioner fails to exhaust his state remedies, a district court must dismiss the petition without prejudice to allow for such exhaustion." (citations omitted)). The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to close this case.

The Court reviews de novo a magistrate judge's findings to which a petitioner objects, and the Court reviews for clear error the portions of a report and recommendation to which a petitioner does not object. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see Merchant v. Nationwide Recovery Serv., Inc., 440 F. Supp. 3d 1369, 1371 (N.D. Ga. 2020) (outlining the standard of review for report and recommendations (citing Macort v. Prem, Inc., 208 F. App'x 781, 784 (11th Cir. 2006) (per curiam))).

Applying the Certificate of Appealability (COA) standards set forth in Brown v. United States, Nos. 407CV085, 403CR001, 2009 WL 307872, at *1 (S.D. Ga. Feb. 9, 2009), the Court discerns no COAworthy issues at this stage of the litigation, so no COA should issue either. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1); see also Johnson v. Warden, Ga. Diagnostic & Classification Prison, 805 F.3d 1317, 1322 (11th Cir. 2015) (per curiam) ("In order to appeal from the dismissal of a § 2241 petition, a state prisoner must obtain a COA." (citations omitted)).

SO ORDERED this _______ day of July 2022.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA